





5	5



Custom Beam Bracing –	- Set to NO
Let's review a few examples:	
Beam is modeled w/out any frami	ing members connecting into member. 1BM15
Inputting line load above makes no difference to Bracing	<image/>
5	Borramer





	1	
	٢	







Slide 9

VB1 Villalobos, Bertha, 2/26/2021













Custom Beam Bracing – Set to NO Boise Cascade Let's review a few examples: 1 Triple 1-3/4" x 14" VERSA-LAM® 2.0 3100 SP 1st Floor/Wall Headers/E18_Hd16(i2494) (Wall Header PASSED Garage Header with framing members above. Hd16 1 E18_Hd16(i2494) (span | No cant ary 28, 2021 23:19:35 ng test BCF842.mmd s\E18_Hd16(i2494) File nam Descript Headers input using Quick Opening Function are Fully Braced 1414 Candy Street Sweet Treat, AR, 75754 -n / Uplift) (lbs Read Bearin B1, 3* Roof Live 1347 / 0 Snow 2024 / 0 Load Summa Roof Live 125% Load Type Unf. Lin. (Ib/ft) Unf. Lin. (Ib/ft) Unf. Lin. (Ib/ft) Tag Description 100% 115% 160% 00-00-00 00-09-04 Тор 167 248 111 Suppor ified (L/240) Total load deflection criteri Ver 8.4.2 Header is <6" below top plate 960) Live ction criteria, bottom edge incorrectly shows bottom IBC 2 fully braced **B** Framer



Custom Beam Bracing – Set to NO **Boise Cascade** Let's review a few examples: Boise Double 1-3/4" x 11-7/8" VERSA-LAM® 2.0 3100 SF PASSED 1st Flo Garage Header with framing members above.1BM12 65) (D uary 28, 2021 23:15:54 BC CA ing test BCF842 mmdl File Job name: Address: City, State, Customer: Code repo Merryway Residence 1414 Candy Street Sweet Treat, AR, 75754 Header input as dropped Beam 14 ESR-1040 vn / Uplift) (II Snow 1233 / Roof Liv 821/0 678/0 Snow Wind Load St 0-00 n\a n\a Unf. Lin. (lb/ft Unf. Lin. (lb/ft 162 145 101 244 218 151 106 94 65 2-14 2-04 2-04 11: n\a n\a Top & Bottom Edge – braced @ joist O/C -Incorrect **BGF**ramer

Custom Beam Bracing – Set to NO				
<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header></section-header>	<text></text>			
20	BCFramel			







Custom Beam Bracing – Set to YES Must specify Top & Bottom Bracing 1 10 Continuously Braced Prope Sheathing, direct applied ceiling, wall along full 1 T NONE ▼ Standard Beam 😼 📰 24 🖷 🖓 🞜 length Above, Center Justification Elevation Reference Object Wood Wall:17 Braced at Supports Elevation Offset Extend Length 14 Beam Location Link Floor Yes 14 Posts & Columns and Parallel Walls will be Elevation evel Elevation considered brace points 8' 1 1/8 Material Capping Plate Create Capping Plate No Braced at Ends 1 General Service Level Multi-ply Fastener Type Dry Use Nails User defined 104 Applied Ceiling None Fabrication Type Structural Field Frame Yes Must specify the maximum unbraced length 1 Bracing Use Custom Bracing Member Top Bracing Yes Continuously Braced Continuously Braced Member Terr ly Br Braced At Supports Braced At End User Defined Additional Materials Count: 0 Material BCFramer













Boise Cascade

Custom Bracing

User-Defined Custom Bracing

Why is my beam now failing? What changed? What now?



If you have upgraded to BC Framer® version 8.4.1 or newer, you may have noticed an occasional beam that fails design, when it was passing in prior versions.

The difference comes from a change in how beam bracing is considered in the analysis!

Starting in version 8.4.1, BC Framer[®] more accurately evaluates the modeled members based on their bracing conditions. When the modeled bracing condition is insufficient to prevent the potential for buckling under the applied loads, the member may fail in Moment.

What changed? BC Framer[®] version 8.4.1 or newer now looks at the modeled framing condition to evaluate the bracing points for both top edge and bottom edge of beam and header members and applies reductions to the Moment capacity per applicable building code provisions in cases where the distance between bracing points is too large to prevent the potential for buckling.

What conditions are affected?

All beams and headers go through the same analysis for bracing conditions. If a beam or header does not have custom bracing specified, it will use the modeled conditions to precisely determine bracing and apply that in member analysis.

How do I resolve the issue?

- 1. Check the member report if you have a failure in Moment, scroll down to the Notes section of the report and view the bracing conditions (fully braced or assumed unbraced lengths). Anything other than "fully braced" indicates that bracing conditions may be the cause of the Moment failure in a member that previously passed.
- Confirm the Bracing conditions if the reported bracing conditions accurately reflect the real world installed conditions then you need to address the failure by increasing the beam width as appropriate or by cutting multiple span members at an intermediate support to create simple span members. Our Technical Services team is available to help should you need it.
- Stotut pshould you need it.
 St fit behaviors and the report do not accurately reflect the real world installed conditions adjust as needed by editing the member properties (*Properties* > *Bracing*)
 Set *Bracing: Use Custom Bracing* and *Member Bottom Bracing* Sepcify *Member Top Bracing* and *Member Bottom Bracing*
 - · Re-run analysis 1 NONE 回题21 ··· 字 是 Structural * Bracing Use Custom Bracing fember Top Brac Member Termin Right fund Cut Braced A Supports Left End Cut Braced At End Date Comparison automatic sectors User Defined Date Comparison Date Comparison recified bracing method for the bottom of the memb. **B** Framer

